



1313 North Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951
302 984 6000

www.potteranderson.com

Richard L. Horwitz
Partner
Attorney at Law
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
302 984-6027 Direct Phone
302 658-1192 Fax

March 6, 2008

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING, E-MAIL AND BY HAND

The Honorable Vincent J. Poppiti
Blank Rome LLP
Chase Manhattan Centre, Suite 800
1201 North Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801-4226

Re: *Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., et al. v. Intel Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 05-441-JJF; In re Intel Corporation, C.A. No. 05-MD-1717-JJF; and Phil Paul, et al. v. Intel Corporation, C.A. 05-485-JJF Discovery Matter No. 4a*

Dear Judge Poppiti:

Enclosed please find the proposed order Your Honor requested regarding the redaction of the Weil Gotshal firm's notes of interviews of current and former Intel employees. We have discussed the scope of the project with Parcels, Incorporated ("Parcels"), the copying firm that will be employed by Intel for this project. Tim Cady of Parcels informs us that it will take approximately three days to complete this task to ensure that it is done correctly. Once Parcels delivers the copy sets to Intel's counsel, we believe this project will require a significant amount of detail work to ensure that the redactions proposed are made consistently across the documents and in conformity with Your Honor's highlighting. We therefore request that counsel for Intel to have until March 31, 2008, to complete the redactions. We will inform the Special Master if we determine that Intel will be unable to complete the process by that date.

Intel would like to clarify that by providing this proposed order regarding process issues, it does not intend to waive any potential objections to the Special Master's rulings on the proposed redactions and/or production of the Weil interview notes. While the Special Master has not yet issued any such ruling and, therefore, Intel does not know whether it intends to object, Intel reserves the right to appeal any such ruling to the Court. Furthermore, we believe that the time period for appealing any such ruling should begin to run only upon the issuance of any order which would require the production of any Weil related materials. Should the Special Master or AMD disagree, please advise us at your earliest convenience.

The Honorable Vincent J. Poppiti
March 6, 2008
Page 2

If the Special Master has any questions regarding the process outlined above or in the proposed order, we are available at Your Honor's convenience for a telephonic conference.

Respectfully,

/s/ Richard L. Horwitz

Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)

RLH/mho
Enclosure

cc: Charles Diamond, Counsel for AMD (w/enclosure; via electronic mail)
Michael Hausfeld, Interim Class Counsel (w/enclosure; via electronic mail)
Frederick L. Cottrell, III, Esquire (w/enclosure; via electronic mail)
James L. Holzman, Esquire (w/enclosure; via electronic mail)

#853287/29282

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE
INTEL CORPORATION
MICROPROCESSOR ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

MDL No. 05-1717-JJF

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., a
Delaware corporation, and AMD
INTERNATIONAL SALES & SERVICES, LTD.,
a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

C.A. No. 05-441-JJF

INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,
and INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA, a Japanese
corporation,

Defendants.

PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

C.A. No. 05-485-JJF

CONSOLIDATED ACTION

v.

INTEL CORPORATION,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE PROCEDURES RELATED TO REDACTED DOCUMENTS

During the telephonic hearing on February 26, 2008, the Special Master suggested to counsel for Intel a process for communicating to Intel potential redactions of interview notes created by Weil Gotshal firm that were presented for *in camera* review. This order sets forth the procedure that the Special Master and counsel for Intel will follow to create a redacted set of the

notes for potential production of the Weil interview notes, should the Special Master issue such a ruling. This order, however, is limited to the process of creating a redacted set of notes for any potential production and does not constitute a ruling on AMD's motion regarding the Weil interview materials.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Counsel for Intel will arrange for Parcels, Incorporated ("Parcels") to pick up the binders submitted by Intel for *in camera* review that include the Special Master's highlighting. It is understood by the Special Master and the parties that Parcels has a client relationship with Intel alone for the purposes of copying these binders. Parcels shall be bound by the requirements of the protective order in place in this action and shall not provide access to these documents to any party other than counsel for Intel.

2. Once the documents are copied, Parcels shall return the original set to the Special Master and provide the copy sets to counsel for Intel.

3. Intel will then create a redacted set of the documents that were submitted for *in camera* review in conformity with the highlighting made by the Special Master. The redacted set of documents shall have no highlighting on them.

4. The Special Master recognizes that this project will require a significant amount of highly detailed work. Accordingly, Intel shall have until _____, 2008 to complete the redactions.

5. If, during the process of making the redactions, Intel has any questions regarding the Special Master's ordered redactions or if Intel discovers additional text that it believes should be redacted in conformity with the Special Master's guidelines, Intel may address those

questions to the Special Master on March 24th or March 25th, 2008, at an in-person, *ex parte* hearing on the record at the offices of Blank Rome. Should any additional questions arise thereafter, counsel for Intel shall promptly inform the Special Master and request a telephonic conference.

6. This order governing the process by which the redactions shall be made does not constitute an order requiring production of any materials, nor does it constitute an order regarding what information in the materials shall be redacted. The Special Master recognizes that Intel had not waived the right to object to any of the decisions of the Special Master in connection with the redactions and/or the production of the Weil interview notes and that the time period to object to any such decisions shall not begin to run until the Special Master issues a written order ruling on AMD's motion concerning requiring the production of the Weil materials. Intel specifically reserves the right to object to any such rulings for production of the Weil materials to the Court.

SO ORDERED this ___ day of March 2008.

Vincent J. Poppiti (#100614)
Special Master